

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,901	11/13/2003	Toru Hirohata	046124-5250	6105
9629	7590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	,, 20 2000.		2815	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A. A. A. A.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/705,901	HIROHATA ET AL.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner Joseph Nguyen	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication, ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
<u>, </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or elements.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- , ,		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Claim 1 directed to a minimum interval 2L between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of the second semiconductor layer being 0.2 μ m or more but 2 μ m or less.

Species II: Claim 2 directed to the value of V of the voltage applied between said surface electrode and said backside electrode divided by a minimum interval 2L between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of said second semiconductor layer being 2 (V/ μ m) or more.

Species III: Claim 3 directed to a thickness of said second semiconductor layer being D (m), a minimum interval between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of said second semiconductor layer being 2L (m), a carrier density of said second semiconductor layer being N (m 3), and the voltage applied between said surface electrode and said backside electrode being V (V), said photocathode satisfying the following relationship $D^2 + L^2 < 3.0 (1+V) * 10 9 /N$.

Species IV: Claim 4 directed to a thickness of said second semiconductor layer being D (m), a minimum interval between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing

each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of said second semiconductor layer being 2L (m), and the voltage applied between said surface electrode and said backside electrode being V (V), said photocathode satisfying the following relationship: $D^2 + L^2 \le 6.0 (1+V) * 10^9 /N$.

Species V: Claim 5 directed to a minimum interval between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of said second semiconductor layer being 2L (m), a carrier density of said second semiconductor layer being N (m 3), and the voltage applied between said surface electrode and said backside electrode being V (V), said photocathode satisfying the following relationship: $L^2 \leq 3.0 (1+V) * 10^9 /N$.

Species VI: claim 6 directed to a minimum interval between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of said second semiconductor layer being 2L (m), and the voltage applied between said surface electrode and said backside electrode being V (V), said photocathode satisfying the following relationship: $L^2 \leq 6.0 (1+V) * 10^{-13}$.

Species VII: claim 7 directed to a thickness of said second semiconductor layer being D (m), a minimum interval between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of said second semiconductor layer being 2L (m), a carrier density of said second semiconductor layer being N (m 3), said photocathode satisfying the following relationship: $D^2 + L^2 \leq 3.3*10^9$ /N.

Art Unit: 2815

Species VIII: claim 8 directed to a thickness of said second semiconductor layer being D (m), a minimum interval between parts of said third semiconductor layer, facing each other while sandwiching the exposed part in the surface of said second semiconductor layer being 2L (m), a carrier density of said second semiconductor layer being N (m 3), said photocathode satisfying the following relationship: $D^2 + L^2 \le 6.6 * 10^{-12}$.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 2815

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-4: 30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-308-7382 for regular communications.

JN October 28, 2004

> JEROME/JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER